



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/799,866

03/12/2004

Hisashi Fukuda

FUJO 14.947A

2656

26304 7590 08/17/2009
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
575 MADISON AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585

EXAMINER

HOQUE, NAFIZ E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/17/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/799,866	Applicant(s) FUKUDA ET AL.	
	Examiner NAFIZ E. HOQUE	Art Unit 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 May 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 36-43 and 101 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 36-43 and 101 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 44-100 and 102-106 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' claims filed on May 08, 2009 have been entered. Claims 38, 41-43, and 101 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 36-43 and 101 are still pending in this application, with claims 36 and 101 being independent.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 36-43 and 101 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Art Unit: 2614

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 36, 41-43 and 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bowater et al. (GB 2,316,265).

Regarding claims 36 and 101, Bowater discloses a computer telephony integration client unit (fig. 1, element 71) for transmitting computer telephony integration control request information for use in requesting computer telephony integration control to a computer telephony integration server unit (fig. 1, element 50), comprising:

computer telephony integration control request information editing means for editing tile computer telephony integration control request information (fig. 5); and

communications control means for communicating with the computer telephony integration server unit through a computer network the computer telephony integration control request information and information relating to the computer telephony integration control request information (fig. 1 – shows communicating with a server in a computer communication network).

Regarding claim 41, Bowater discloses wherein a source terminal unit and a destination terminal unit communicate with each other through an exchange unit and a switching network to which the exchange unit is connected (fig. 1, elements 30 and 20).

Regarding claim 42, Bowater discloses wherein a source terminal unit and a destination terminal unit are connected to an exchange unit and communicate with each other through an extension network controlled by the exchange unit (fig. 1, elements 81 and 82).

Regarding claim 43, Bowater discloses an exchange unit used in the computer telephony integration control system according to claim 36 (fig. 1, element 30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowater et al. (GB 2,316,265) in view of Iida et al. (US 5,577,111).

Regarding claim 37, Bowater discloses wherein said computer telephony integration control request information contains information specifying issue/non-issue of a result notification (see fig. 7, element 820).

Bowater does not disclose wherein said computer telephony integration control request information contains information when information specifying issue of the result notification is set in the computer telephony integration control request information, said computer telephony integration server unit returns a notification as to whether or not the

Art Unit: 2614

computer telephony integration control has been successfully performed within the monitor time set in the computer telephony integration control request information.

lida discloses wherein said computer telephony integration control request information contains information when information specifying issue of the result notification is set in the computer telephony integration control request information, said computer telephony integration server unit returns a notification as to whether or not the computer telephony integration control has been successfully performed within the monitor time set in the computer telephony integration control request information (col. 9, lines 20-34; fig. 7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bowater in view of lida to set a time for the request and cancel the camp-on request if it is not answered within a certain period to avoid having a request that is infinitely long (lida, col. 9, lines 20-34).

8. Claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowater et al. (GB 2,316,265) in view of Farris (US 5,692,033).

Regarding claim 38, Bowater discloses a source terminal unit capable of calling a call from the exchange unit to a destination terminal unit.

Bowater does not disclose wherein said computer telephony integration control refers to two-point connection control to connect a source terminal unit capable of releasing a call from the exchange unit to a destination terminal unit.

Farris discloses wherein said computer telephony integration control refers to two-point connection control to connect a source terminal unit capable of releasing a call from the exchange unit to a destination terminal unit (col. 3, lines 29-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bowater in view of Farris to automatically connect the call when the line is available (Farris, col. 3, lines 31-37).

Regarding claim 39, Farris discloses wherein said two-point connection control is periodically performed until the destination terminal unit answers (col. 3, lines 45-52).

Regarding claim 40, Farris discloses wherein said two-point connection control is performed upon receipt of a call release notification indicating that the destination terminal unit is ready (col. 2, lines 13-16).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NAFIZ E. HOQUE whose telephone number is (571)270-1811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Alternate Fridays Off 7:30 - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NAFIZ E HOQUE/
Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Ahmad F Matar/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614